

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/494,817		JOHL ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Kenneth Tang		2195	

All Participants:

(1) Kenneth Tang.

(2) Kevin Jablonski (Reg. No. 50,401).

Date of Interview: 21 June 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1PM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
claims 1, 8, and 13

Claims discussed:
1, 8, and 13

Prior art documents discussed:
US 5,809,328

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
It was agreed to amend the claims according to the attached Proposed Examiner Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

MENG-AL T. AN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)